



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/718,492 | 11/20/2003 | Christopher C. Toly | SIMU0004 | 8227 |
| 25268 7590 03/31/2010 LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M ANDERSON 600 108TH AVE, NE SUITE 507 BELLEVUE, WA 98004 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3715 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 03/31/2010 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/718,492

Applicant(s)

TOLY, CHRISTOPHER C.

Examiner

TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN

Art Unit

3715

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2, 4, 5, 21-28, 33, 43, 44, 55, 88 and 89 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21-25, 43, 44, 88 and 89 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2, 4, 5, 26-28, 33 and 55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

In response to applicant's submission of 12/16/2009, claims 2, 4-5, 21-28, 33, 43-44, 55, 88-89 remain pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the relevant portion of 35 U.S.C. 103 that forms the basis for the rejections made in this section of the office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 2, 26-28, 33, and 55, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholls et al. (US 2003/0068606).

Regarding claims 2 and 55, Nicholls discloses a medical simulation trainer that comprises an evaluation circuit comprising conductive elastomer. See paragraphs 13 and 14. Nicholls does not disclose use of a chemical sensor. However, applicant has not claimed any specific detail regarding the function of the chemical sensor. Without at least some details as to how the chemical sensor is utilized, this claim language becomes extremely generic and broad. Chemical sensors of numerous types and forms were available on the market place at the time of the invention (e.g. O2 sensors in automobiles). One of ordinary skill in the art would be capable of utilizing the sensors for the very broad purposes of sensing chemical changes because this would not be unexpected as sensing chemical changes is precisely what chemical sensors do.

Regarding claims 26-28, Nicholls discloses wherein the sensor circuitry is implemented in a 3D grid. See paragraphs 13 and 14.

Regarding claim 33, Nicholls discloses wherein the simulated system comprises a pump. See paragraph 0023.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholls (US 2003/0068606) in combination with Takaya et al. (US 5,175,214).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Nicholls fails to teach wherein the conductive elastomer circuit comprises a conductive carbon or metal dispersed in an elastomeric matrix. However, this is a standard method of producing conductive elastomer material, as is disclosed by Takaya in col. 2: 6-12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to consider using conductive elastomers currently available in the art for applications involving conductive elastomers, because it would be a matter of using a known product for its intended purpose.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 88-89, 21-25, and 43-44 are indicated as allowable, because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the removal of a non-conductive segment and the repositioning and coupling of conductive segments together to complete an evaluation circuit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks dated 12/16/2009 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-1814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571)272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,492
Art Unit: 3715

Page 5